

Online Appendix:

Tariffs and Firm Performance in Ethiopia

Arne Bigsten[†], Mulu Gebreeyesus[‡] and Måns Söderbom[§]

March 2015

Document description: This appendix contains additional material for the study “Tariffs and Firm Performance in Ethiopia” by Arne Bigsten, Mulu Gebreeyesus and Måns Söderbom.

Table of Contents:

Section A.1: Construction of variables

Section A.2: Additional tables

[†] University of Gothenburg, Sweden.

[‡] United Nations University (UNU-MERIT), Maastricht, the Netherlands.

[§] Corresponding author. Address: Måns Söderbom, University of Gothenburg, Department of Economics, P.O. Box 640, SE 405 30 Gothenburg, Sweden. E-mail: mans.soderbom@economics.gu.se. Phone: +46 (0)31 786 4332. Fax: +46 (0)31 786 1326.

A.1 Construction of variables

Industry level price deflator

Following the methodology by MoFED we generated an industry specific price deflator by dividing value added at factor cost to the value added at constant price for each 17 industries. The industry level value added at factor cost, here defined as gross value of production minus intermediate costs and indirect taxes, is available in the CSA publication. Value added at constant price, on the other hand, was derived by dividing value added at factor cost by industry specific production index. The production index is not easily available and we had to construct it using detail and product level information again from the CSA survey reports. We collected yearly quantity and value of production of 106 products for the period 1997-2006. Then we constructed production index for each 17 industries weighted by the share of each product in the given industry in a base year, here 2000.

We use the following formula to generate industry level production index. $\sum_i^k (V_{i0} \times (Q_{it}/Q_{i0})) / \sum_i^k V_{i0}$, where V_{i0} denotes values of product i at base year (i.e. 2000), Q_{it} and Q_{i0} quantity of product i produced respectively at time t and base year, and $i-k$ a range of products produced in industry J . To correct for the missed and some unreliable figures we use average of pre and post price index or linear projection if it is for extended period. But some products that could not be easily fixed are dropped from the calculation. Moreover, we apply the average manufacturing price index (again generated through industry weighting) for two industries (vehicle assembly and furniture) that we could not find full series of product price.

Capital stock

The capital stock is calculated as $K_{it} = K_{it-1} + (I_t / p^t) - \delta K_{it-1} - sK_{it}$ where K_{it-1} denotes the beginning year capital, p^t investment deflator, δ depreciation rate and sK_{it} sold assets in year t . We used different depreciation rates for different types of assets; 8 percent for machinery and equipment, 5 percent for buildings, and 10 percent for vehicle and furniture and fixture. Investment deflator was found from MoFED. For each firm we took the beginning year capital (when it entered the data set) as a base and constructed a capital stock sequentially by adding investment and subtracting sold assets and depreciation. Then we derived a new capital stock series (K) by taking the average of the beginning and the end year capital stock for use throughout our analysis.

Intermediate inputs tariffs

We use the CSA production data and the ECA tariff data to generate tariff rates on intermediate inputs. We began by listing all the inputs used by the firms. This information is available in the module on inputs in the firm-level production dataset. We then assign a HS number to each input identified in the data, enabling us to merge the input data with the customs data on input tariffs for specific products. Using the firm-level data, we compute the total value of inputs used for each subsector (defined at the 4-digit ISIC level) and input type in the data. We then aggregate input values over different inputs, within each subsector, and compute the share of a particular input in total inputs for each product within the sector. These shares will be constant over time. We then merge the shares data with the tariff data, and, for

each sector and year, compute a weighted average of the input tariff with weights based on shares calculated as described above.

We also consider results based a firm-level measure of input tariffs. This measure is constructed in the same was as described above, except that the input shares are computed at the level of the firm rather than at the level of the subsector.

A.2 Additional tables

Table A.1: Number of establishments and employment

| | Number of firms | | Growth # of firms | Total employment | | Sector share of employment | | Growth employ- ment | Mean firm size (empl) | | Median firm size (empl) | |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| | <u>1997</u> | <u>2004</u> | <u>1997-2004</u> | <u>1997</u> | <u>2004</u> | <u>1997</u> | <u>2004</u> | <u>1997-2004</u> | <u>1997</u> | <u>2004</u> | <u>1997</u> | <u>2004</u> |
| Food | 179 | 294 | 64.2 | 26926 | 31238 | 28.1 | 29.7 | 16.0 | 150 | 106 | 21 | 24.5 |
| Textile | 59 | 73 | 23.7 | 31839 | 26677 | 33.2 | 25.4 | -16.2 | 540 | 365 | 51 | 58 |
| Leather | 61 | 62 | 1.6 | 8226 | 7575 | 8.6 | 7.2 | -7.9 | 135 | 122 | 27 | 49.5 |
| Wood | 132 | 185 | 40.2 | 5680 | 6822 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 20.1 | 43 | 37 | 20.5 | 16 |
| Paper | 46 | 73 | 58.7 | 5122 | 6929 | 5.3 | 6.6 | 35.3 | 111 | 95 | 24.5 | 35 |
| chemical | 64 | 87 | 35.9 | 6124 | 9306 | 6.4 | 8.9 | 52.0 | 96 | 107 | 36 | 59 |
| Non-metallic | 89 | 119 | 33.7 | 6745 | 9170 | 7.0 | 8.7 | 36.0 | 76 | 77 | 17 | 19 |
| Fabricated metal | 72 | 103 | 43.1 | 4377 | 6594 | 4.6 | 6.3 | 50.7 | 61 | 64 | 20.5 | 30 |
| Total | 703 | 997 | 41.8 | 95992 | 105095 | | | 9.5 | 137 | 105 | 23 | 26 |

Table A.2: Tariffs, import penetration, imported inputs and export ratios

| ISIC code | | Average Output Tariff (% of CIF import value) | | | Average Input Tariff (% of CIF import value) | | | Import penetration ratio | | | Imported inputs ratio | | | Export share of sales | | |
|-----------|------------------|--|------|------|---|------|------|--------------------------|------|------|-----------------------|------|------|-----------------------|------|------|
| | | 1997 | 2001 | 2005 | 1997 | 2001 | 2005 | 1997 | 2001 | 2005 | 1997 | 2001 | 2005 | 1997 | 2001 | 2005 |
| 151-153 | Food | 29 | 29 | 24 | 24 | 14 | 11 | 0.08 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.12 |
| 154 | Other food | 30 | 17 | 22 | 26 | 28 | 25 | 0.22 | 0.38 | 0.21 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.28 | 0.08 | 0.26 |
| 155 | Beverage | 18 | 12 | 10 | 18 | 14 | 13 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.43 | 0.36 | 0.52 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 160 | Tobacco | Na | 26 | 32 | 30 | 20 | 20 | na | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.84 | 0.73 | 0.43 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 170 | Textile | 27 | 25 | 16 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 0.30 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.42 | 0.38 | 0.50 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.08 |
| 180 | Garment | 46 | 39 | 34 | 27 | 24 | 24 | 0.40 | 0.55 | 0.86 | 0.31 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| 191 | Leather | 29 | 31 | 29 | na | 10 | 10 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.11 | na | 0.67 | 0.76 |
| 192 | Footwear | 48 | 39 | 33 | 28 | 24 | 21 | 0.31 | 0.23 | 0.07 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.45 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.12 |
| 200 | Wood | 7.3 | 8.3 | 3.2 | 34 | 19 | 7.6 | 0.52 | 0.60 | 0.71 | 0.45 | 0.63 | 0.48 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 360 | Furniture | 19 | 20 | 26 | 6.9 | 5.3 | 4.3 | 0.29 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.35 | 0.42 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 210 | Paper | 12 | 12 | 9.2 | 12 | 8.9 | 5.9 | 0.50 | 0.47 | 0.28 | 0.90 | 0.91 | 0.96 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 220 | Printing | 12 | 8.7 | 9.8 | 19 | 15 | 10 | 0.44 | 0.35 | 0.14 | 0.48 | 0.37 | 0.74 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 241 | Ind. Chemicals | 6.8 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 9.5 | 9.1 | 9.5 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.90 | 0.32 | 0.47 | 0.52 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 242 | Other chemicals | 20 | 15 | 9.7 | 11 | 12 | 7 | 0.55 | 0.57 | 0.52 | 0.79 | 0.77 | 0.86 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 251 | Rubber | 14 | 10 | 12 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 5.8 | 0.64 | 0.54 | 0.53 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 252 | Plastic | 30 | 27 | 22 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 0.39 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.97 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 261 | Glass | 18 | 17 | 11 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 0.73 | 0.70 | 0.75 | 0.14 | 0.34 | 0.22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 269 | Non-metal | 12 | 17 | 21 | 3.1 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 270 | Basic iron | 6.8 | 6.9 | 7.6 | 7.0 | 5.2 | 6.0 | 0.70 | 0.54 | 0.43 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.61 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 280 | Fabricated metal | 15 | 11 | 12 | 8.6 | 6.9 | 5.3 | 0.77 | 0.99 | 0.49 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.81 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table A.3
Value-Added Regressions with Controls for Inputs

| | (1) Log Value Added per Worker | (2) Log Value Added |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Output tariff | 0.133 (0.309) | 0.129 (0.310) |
| Input tariff | -0.791 (0.413)* | -0.816 (0.412)** |
| Log Capital Labor Ratio | 0.200 (0.035)*** | |
| Log Capital | | 0.246 (0.046)*** |
| Log Labor | | 0.844 (0.047)*** |
| Year dummies | yes | yes |
| Firm fixed effects | yes | yes |
| Observations | 6268 | 6268 |
| Firms | 1738 | 1738 |
| H ₀ : Constant returns to scale (p-value) | | 0.135 |

Note: All regressions are estimated by means of OLS. The within transformation is used in order to eliminate the firm fixed effects. Firm-level clustered (robust) standard errors are shown in parentheses. * denotes statistical significance at the 10% level; ** significant at the 5% level; *** significant at the 1% level.